NO.		
THE STATE OF TEXAS	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
vs.	§ §	,TEXAS
	§ §	TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
DEFENDANT'S SECOND MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE		
TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:		
NOW COMES YOUR DEFENDANT, and makes this his Second Motion for		
Continuance, and would show unto the Court the following:		
	I.	
Defendant's counsel has filed a timely application to subpoena the witness		
(the "witness") who is located at,		
Texas, in the trial of the above cause.		
	II.	
However, despite the fact that Defendant's counsel has spoken with said witness,		
and has subpoenaed said witness and has m	nade	a request for personal service of such
subpoena, and has had same served, said with	iess is	s not available for the trial of the above
cause.		
	III.	
The testimony of said witness is mate	erial t	to the defense in that said witness will
testify that the witness, and not the Def	endar	nt was in possession of the alleged
paraphernalia.		

